

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/821,294	04/09/2004	George R. Borden IV	KLR7146.0214	1108	
55648 7590 01/22/2009 KEVIN L. RUSSELL			EXAM	EXAMINER	
CHERNOFF, VILHAUER, MCCLUNG & STENZEL LLP			CZEKAJ	CZEKAJ, DAVID J	
1600 ODSTOWER 601 SW SECOND AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PORTLAND, OR 97204			2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/22/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/821,294 BORDEN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DAVID CZEKAJ 2621 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 October 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 27-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 27-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,294 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

In view of the Appeal Brief filed on 10/27/08, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new ground of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

(1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,

(2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below:

/Mehrdad Dastouri/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title. Application/Control Number: 10/821,294

Art Unit: 2621

Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as not falling within one of the four statutory categories of invention. While the claims recite a series of steps or acts to be performed, a statutory "process" under 35 U.S.C. 101 must (1) be tied to another statutory category (such as a particular apparatus), or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or material) to a different state or thing (Reference the May 15, 2008 memorandum issued by Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examining Policy, John J. Love, titled "Clarification of 'Processes' under 35 U.S.C. 101"). The instant claims neither transform underlying subject matter nor positively tie to another statutory category that accomplishes the claimed method steps, and therefore do not qualify as a statutory process. The Applicant has provided no explicit and deliberate definitions of "monitoring" or "increasing" to limit the steps to the electronic form of the object tracking system.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abe (5473369) in view of Yu (5434621).

Regarding claim 27, Abe discloses an apparatus that relates to moving object detection (Abe: column 1, lines 6-10). This apparatus comprises Application/Control Number: 10/821,294

Art Unit: 2621

"monitoring a level of confidence that the tracking system is tracking a target" (Abe: column 10, lines 45-64, wherein the confidence level is the judgment performed by the equations indicating whether the object has disappeared (drop in confidence)) and "altering magnification of an image visible to the operator in response to a change in the level of confidence" (Abe: column 12, lines 5-13). While Abe discloses adjusting the zoom or magnification factor according to the results of the confidence value. Abe fails to explicitly disclose increasing the magnification in response to a decrease in the confidence level. Yu teaches that prior art camera systems require a user to manually operate a zoom switch (Yu: column 2, lines 20-27). To help alleviate this problem, Yu discloses "increasing the magnification when the confidence level decreases" (Yu: column 6, lines 36-43, wherein the increase in magnification is driving the zoom motor in the TELE direction. The confidence level is the comparison of the focus count which indicates the object is moving away or the confidence level is decreasing). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to take the apparatus disclosed by Abe and add the zoom processing taught by Yu in order to obtain an apparatus that can automatically zoom in/out on a tracked object.

Regarding claim 28, Yu discloses "the magnification is changed incrementally as the level of confidence decreases" (Yu: column 6, lines 36-43, wherein the incremental change is only varying the zoom by the amount equal to the variation detected).

Application/Control Number: 10/821,294 Page 5

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 29, Abe in view of Yu disclose "the magnification is increased when the confidence level falls below a first threshold and decreased when the confidence level falls below a second threshold less than the first threshold" (Loveland: Abe: column 10, lines 50-65, wherein the equations indicate a comparison with two thresholds; Yu: figures 2-3; column 5, lines 45-57; column 6, lines 36-43).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID CZEKAJ whose telephone number is (571)272-7327. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/821,294 Page 6

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dave Czekaj/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Mehrdad Dastouri/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621